

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 21, 2002      LB 1309

the university to \$423 million, recognizing that in 2000 and 2001 the appropriation was \$390 million. So, in fact, we're still \$33 million over that appropriation. So the growth is still there.

SENATOR QUANDAH: So, if I...if I understand correctly, and this is the way that I understand this too, is that actually Senator Redfield's amendment isn't actually a cut, it's actually a reduction in the amount of growth, is that a fair way to state that?

SENATOR REDFIELD: That is correct.

SENATOR QUANDAH: Okay, and then I guess I do have some more questions about some of the other paperwork that I got distributed. In particular, Senator Don Pederson handed out kind of a matrix on the cumulative effect of budget cuts to the University of Nebraska, and I see on there he has some percentages on there that says percentage cuts, and it has a number of figures after the Special Session and then with the Appropriations Committee reductions that was 3.5 percent, and then FY2003 appropriation with the Redfield amendment. It actually says on Senator Don Pederson's handout that that would equate to a 4.5 percent cut. I guess I would ask Senator Pederson if he could help me figure out exactly what those figures mean.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator, would you respond?

SENATOR D. PEDERSON: Yes, I would be glad to, Senator Quandah. Now what this chart shows is that after the Special Session we actually cut \$11.2 million, which was a 2.5 percent cut. You will recall that initially...

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: One minute.

SENATOR D. PEDERSON: ...the Governor wanted a 5 percent cut and we...we determined a 2.5 percent cut, and so that's how we come with the 11.2. Then the next figures are what would be with the Appropriations Committee's proposal. That would make it a total cumulative effect of \$15.8 million being cut from the university